# Summary Report Indiana Trails Study

A Study of Trails in 6 Indiana Cities

Funded by

Indiana Department of Transportation
Indiana Department of Natural Resources
National Park Service

Rivers, Trails and Conservation Assistance Program



**Indiana University** 

Eppley Institute for Parks & Public Lands Indiana University Bloomington

Center for Urban Policy & the Environment Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis

Stephen A. Wolter Project Director **Dr. Greg Lindsey**Research Director

**Project Associates** 

John Drew Scott Hurst Shayne Galloway

November 30, 2001



#### **Indiana Trails Study Group**

The following individuals provided guidance and review on the Indiana Trails Study Group as representatives of State and Federal agencies, including the Indiana Department of Transportation, Indiana Department of Natural Resources, National Park Service's Rivers, Trails and Conservation Assistance Program, Indiana Department of Commerce and Indiana Governor's Council on Physical Fitness

Dale Brier
Sharon Kendall
Eric Myers
Rory Robinson

<b>Bob Bronson</b>
<b>Casey McIntyre</b>
Joyce Newland
Joe Tutterrow

John Goss Steve Morris Michael O'Loughlin Mariana Weinzapfel

### **Participating Local Agencies**

The Indiana Trails Study could not have been accomplished without the support and assistance of staff from the local trail organizations that participated in the study. The following individuals served as the primary contacts for the Fort Wayne Parks and Recreation Department, Greenfield Parks and Recreation Department, Goshen Parks and Recreation Department, Indianapolis Greenways Division of Indy Parks, Cardinal Greenway, Inc.(Muncie), and Portage Parks and Recreation Department.

Kathy Pargmann, Ft. Wayne Rich Fay, Goshen Clark Ketchum, Greenfield Ray Irvin, Indianapolis Diane Roach, Muncie Carl Fisher, Portage

## **Indiana Trails Study**

## **Table of Contents**

Introduction	page 1
Study Purpose	2
Study Locations	_2
Study Methods	2
Study Findings	4
Trail Use & Counts Trail Users Trail Neighbors Realtor Conversations	11 19
Conclusions & Recommendations	27
Appendices Appendix A: Data Summary Tables Appendix B: Methodology	33

## List of Figures and Charts

Figure 1:	Early Morning Bicyclists On A Trail	page 2
Figure 2:	Location of Indiana Trails Study Cities	3
Figure 3:	Infrared Trail Counter Receiver Unit	11
Figure 4:	Percentage Income Distribution of Trail Users	13
Figure 5:	Indiana's Historic Trails: The Country Road	19
Figure 6:	Trail in Southern Indiana	25
Figure 7:	Trail in Southern Indiana  Trail Crossing at Clear Creek, Bloomington, IN	26
Chart 1:	Total Traffic Count For Study Cities in	paga 5
Chart 2:	September/October 2000 Average Weekday Traffic Count For Study	
Ob 0.	Cities in September/October 2000	р
Chart 3:	Average Weekend Traffic Count for Study Cities in	^
Obart 1.	September/October 2000	о
Chart 4:	Highest Single Hour Count For Study Cities in	7
Ohart F.	September/October 2000 Weekday Traffic by Hour of Day, September 2000	/
Chart 5:	We also ad Traffic by Hour of the Day, September 2000	8
Chart 6:	Weekend Traffic by Hour of the Day, September 2000	9
Chart 7:	Weekday Traffic by Hour of Day, October 2000	9
Chart 8:	Weekend Traffic by Hour of Day, October 2000	10
Chart 9:	Trail Users Entering and Exiting at Same Location	11
Chart 10:	Trail User Method of Travel To/From The Trail	12
Chart 11:	Age Distribution Percentages of Trail Users	13
Chart 12:	Distribution of Trail User Activities	14
Chart 13:	Trail User Primary Reason For Visiting Trail	14
Chart 14:	Percentage of Trail Users Combining Trail Use With Other Activities/Places	15
Chart 15:	With Other Activities/Places Percentage of Trail Users Indicating More Participation	
3.1d.1. 13.	Due To Trail	16
Chart 16:	Amount of Time Users Spent Weekly on Trail	17
Chart 17:	Percentage of Trail Users Viewing Trail As Safe And	
	City As More Favorable Due To Trail	17
Chart 18:	Percentage of Trail Users Indicating Willingness	
		18
Chart 19:	To Pay User Fee  Percentage of Trail Neighbors Viewing Trail As  Having No or A Positive Effect on Adjacent Prope	
	Having No or A Positive Effect on Adjacent Prope	rtv 20
Chart 20:	Percentage of Trail Neighbors Viewing Trail As Improvin	
	Neighborhood or As Better Neighbor	
Chart 21:	Average Trail Neighbor Use of Trail By Season	22
Chart 22:	Percentage of Trail Neighbors Using Trail	
	in Past 12 Months	22
Chart 23:	Factors Leading To Dissatisfaction of Trail Neighbors	
Chart 24:	Trail Neighbor Ratings For Extremely	
	Important Public Benefits of Trail	24
Chart 25:	Most Frequent Problems Reported By Trail Neighbors	24